

1 Milord A. Keshishian, SBN 197835
2 milord@milordlaw.com
3 J. Nicolas Anwandter, SBN 294677
4 nico@milordlaw.com
5 MILORD & ASSOCIATES, P.C.
6 10517 West Pico Boulevard
7 Los Angeles, California 90064
8 Tel: (310) 226-7878
9 Fax: (310) 226-7879

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff
11 L.A. GEM & JEWELRY DESIGN, INC.

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

1 L.A. GEM & JEWELRY DESIGN,) Case No.: 2:17-cv-2239
2 INC., a California Corporation,)
3)
4 Plaintiff,)
5)
6 vs.)
7)
8 NANCY MEDLIN, an individual, dba)
9 LEMONDROP DESIGN; REGAN)
10 SUZANNE BATTEN, an individual;)
11 PLUM STREET GIFTS & MORE,)
12 LLC, a Pennsylvania Limited Liability)
13 Company; JAMIE DAVIS, an)
14 individual, dba HOTBUYKING; and)
15 DOES 1-10;)
16)
17 Defendants.)
18)
19)
20)
21)
22)
23)
24)
25)
26)
27)
28)

MILORD & ASSOCIATES, PC
10517 West Pico Boulevard
Los Angeles, CA 90064
(310) 226-7878

1 Plaintiff L.A. Gem & Jewelry Design, Inc. (“LA Gem”) by and through its
 2 undersigned attorney, sues Defendants Nancy Medlin dba Lemondrop Design, Regan
 3 Suzanne Batten, Plum Street Gifts & More, LLC, Jamie Davis dba HotBuyKing, and
 4 Does 1-10 and alleges:

5

6 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

7 1. This action arises under the laws of the United States and the Copyright Act
 8 of 1976 (17 U.S.C. § 101, *et seq.*), and as such, the Court has subject matter jurisdiction
 9 over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338.

10 2. The Court has personal jurisdiction because Defendants engaged in
 11 substantial, continuous, and systematic activities within the state, including:

12 (a) operating fully interactive retail ecommerce storefronts that solicit and
 13 engage in regular business in California and this District;
 14 (b) advertising nationwide; and
 15 (c) owning, occupying, and operating out of physical locations in
 16 California and this District.

17 3. The Court also has personal jurisdiction because Defendants engaged in
 18 multiple intentional acts of copyright infringement expressly aimed at California which
 19 have caused harm to LA Gem. Such acts include but are not limited to:

20 (a) marketing, selling, and delivering a substantial amount of infringing
 21 products in the state of California and this District; and
 22 (b) engaging in contractual relations with nationwide online retailer
 23 Amazon.com, Inc. to market, sell, and distribute the infringing
 24 products nationwide, such that they knew or should have known that
 25 their infringing products would reach the state of California and this
 26 District.

27 4. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1331(b), (c),
 28 and 1400(a) because Defendants conduct business in this District by advertising in and

1 shipping goods to this District, and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving
 2 rise to the claim occurred in this District. Upon information and belief, Defendants also
 3 knew or were willfully blind to the fact that LA Gem owned a valid copyright in the
 4 subject designs, and that LA Gem would suffer lost sales and harm in this District as a
 5 result of Defendants' infringing activities. Defendant Jamie Davis, dba HotBuyKing also
 6 resides in this District.

7 **THE PARTIES**

8 5. Plaintiff LA Gem is a California corporation having a place of business at
 9 659 S. Broadway, Los Angeles, California 90014. LA Gem also conducts business under
 10 its LA Rocks service mark and trademark.

11 6. Upon information and belief, Defendant Nancy Medlin dba Lemondrop
 12 Design (hereinafter "Medlin") is and at all times herein mentioned was an individual
 13 residing in the state of Texas, and does business in and with the State of California and,
 14 in particular, within this District.

15 7. Upon information and belief, Defendant Regan Suzanne Batten (hereinafter
 16 "Batten") is and at all times herein mentioned was an individual residing in the state of
 17 Pennsylvania, a principal and/or owner of Defendant Plum Street Gifts & More, LLC,
 18 and does business in and with the State of California and, in particular, within this
 19 District.

20 8. Upon information and belief, Defendant Plum Street Gifts & More, LLC
 21 (hereinafter "Plum Street") is a Pennsylvania Limited Liability Company with its
 22 principal place of business located at 31 Glen Riddle Road, Media, Pennsylvania 19063,
 23 and Plum Street does business in and with the State of California and, in particular,
 24 within this District.

25 9. Upon information and belief, Defendant Jamie Davis dba HotBuyKing
 26 (hereinafter "Davis") is and at all times herein mentioned was an individual residing in
 27 the state of California, with his principal place of business located at 3400 Central
 28 Avenue, Riverside, California 92506, and Davis does business in and with the State of

California and, in particular, within this District.

10. Defendants are sophisticated sellers that operate fully interactive ecommerce businesses through Amazon.com storefronts, where Defendants engage in a substantial volume of transactions nationwide. Upon information and belief, a substantial amount of Defendants' sales were made to California and this District, including sales of infringing pendants. Upon information and belief, Medlin's infringing sales were "fulfilled by Amazon," meaning that Amazon.com stored Medlin's infringing copies in its fulfillment centers nationwide (including California) and shipped them to customers nationwide (including California). Upon information and belief, Plum Street's infringing sales were also "fulfilled by Amazon." Upon information and belief, Davis sold infringing copies "fulfilled by Amazon" as well as through its Riverside, California physical store.

11. Defendants Does 1 through 10, inclusive, are other parties not yet identified who have infringed LA Gem's copyrights, have contributed to the infringement of LA Gem's copyrights, or have engaged in one or more of the wrongful acts alleged herein. The true names, whether corporate, individual, or otherwise of Does 1 through 10, inclusive, are presently unknown to LA Gem, and therefore, are being sued by such fictitious names, and LA Gem will seek leave to amend this Complaint to include their true names and capacities when the same have been ascertained.

12. LA Gem is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that at all times relevant to this action, each of the Defendants was the agent, affiliate, officer, director, manager, principal, alter-ego, and/or employee of the remaining Defendants and was at all times acting within the scope of such agency, affiliation, alter-ego relationship and/or employment, and actively participated in or subsequently ratified and adopted, or both, each and all of the acts or conduct alleged herein with full knowledge of each and every violation of LA Gem's rights and the damages to LA Gem proximately caused thereby.

FACTS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

13. LA Gem is a designer and creator of jewelry whose jewelry pieces are sold

1 by numerous national retailers.

2 14. LA Gem is the designer and creator of the original artwork (as hereinafter
3 described), all prior to Defendants' conduct complained of herein.

4 15. Upon information and belief, Defendants Medlin, Batten, Plum Street and/or
5 Davis are retailers, manufacturers, and/or distributors of jewelry to the jewelry industry,
6 and are in the business of manufacturing, marketing, and selling jewelry products that are
7 available for purchase and use across the United States, including in this District.

8

9 **COMMON ALLEGATIONS RELATED TO**
10 **THE MOON PENDANT**

11 16. In 2011, LA Gem created its original design entitled LA Rocks I Love You
12 to the Moon and Back: 440811 (the "Moon Pendant"), as pictured below:



17 17. Thereafter, LA Gem sought to register the copyright in the Moon Pendant
18 with the United States Copyright Office, and was granted such registration on November
19 21, 2013, under Registration No. VA 1-912-320.

20 18. At all relevant times, LA Gem complied in all respects with the Copyright
21 Act, 17 U.S.C. § 101, *et seq.*, and secured the exclusive rights and privileges in and to the
22 Moon Pendant. The Moon Pendant is an original work copyrightable under the
23 Copyright Act, and has been registered in full compliance with the Copyright Act.

24 19. Since the creation of the Moon Pendant, LA Gem has been and still is the
25 sole proprietor of all rights, title, and interest in and to the copyright therein and
26 Certificates of Registration corresponding therewith.

27 20. Since its creation, the Moon Pendant has been manufactured and/or
28 distributed by LA Gem or under its authority.

21. LA Gem has not authorized Defendants to copy, reproduce, manufacture, duplicate, disseminate, or distribute the Moon Pendant or any jewelry products substantially similar thereto.

22. Upon information and belief, Defendants Medlin, Batten, Plum Street, and Davis have engaged in the marketing, manufacture, distribution, duplication, and/or sale of infringing copies of the Moon Pendant.

23. Upon information and belief, Defendants Medlin, Batten, Plum Street, and Davis have sold unauthorized and infringing copies of at least the Moon Pendant, which bear a design that is substantially similar – if not strikingly similar – to the authentic Moon Pendant at retail, at wholesale, and through retailers. The infringement is so brazen that it copies LA Gem’s Designer’s cursive handwriting. All of the foregoing acts occurred without LA Gem’s consent.

24. Upon information and belief, Defendants Medlin, Batten, Plum Street, and Davis have sold the unauthorized infringing copies of the Moon Pendant to the public, and customers in this district, at retail, at wholesale, and through retailers.

COMMON ALLEGATIONS RELATED TO
MOM PENDANT NO(S) 1 AND 2

25. In 2013, LA Gem created its original design entitled LA Rocks I Love You to the Moon and Back: 451711CL, et al., including its Design No. 451713 (“Mom Pendant No. 1”), as pictured below:



26. Thereafter, LA Gem sought to register the copyright in Mom Pendant No. 1 with the United States Copyright Office, and was granted such registration on November 25, 2013, under Registration No. VA 1-889-369.

1 27. At all relevant times, LA Gem complied in all respects with the Copyright
 2 Act, 17 U.S.C. § 101, *et seq.*, and secured the exclusive rights and privileges in and to
 3 Mom Pendant No. 1. Mom Pendant No. 1 is an original work copyrightable under the
 4 Copyright Act, and has been registered in full compliance with the Copyright Act.

5 28. In 2014, LA Gem created its original design entitled LA Rocks I Love You
 6 to the Moon and Back Collection: 453547 (“Mom Pendant No. 2”), which is a derivative
 7 work of Mom Pendant No. 1, as pictured below:



8
 9
 10
 11
 12 29. Since the creation of Mom Pendant Nos. 1 and 2 (collectively, “Mom
 13 Pendants”), LA Gem has been and still is the sole proprietor of all rights, title and interest
 14 in and to the copyrights therein.

15
 16 30. Since their creation, the Mom Pendants have been manufactured and/or
 17 distributed by LA Gem or under its authority.

18
 19 31. LA Gem has not authorized Defendants to copy, reproduce, manufacture,
 20 duplicate, disseminate, or distribute the Mom Pendants or any jewelry products
 substantially similar thereto.

21
 22 32. Upon information and belief, Defendants Batten and Plum Street have
 23 engaged in the marketing, manufacture, distribution, duplication, and/or sale of infringing
 copies of the Mom Pendants.

24
 25 33. Upon information and belief, Defendants Batten and Plum Street have sold
 26 unauthorized and infringing copies of at least the Mom Pendants, which bear a design
 27 that is substantially similar – if not strikingly similar – to the authentic Mom Pendants at
 28 retail, at wholesale, and through retailers. The infringement is so brazen that it copies LA
 Gem’s Designer’s cursive handwriting. All of the foregoing acts occurred without LA

Gem's consent.

34. Upon information and belief, Defendants Batten and Plum Street have sold the unauthorized infringing copies of Mom Pendants to the public, and customers in this district, at retail, at wholesale, and through retailers.

35. For the Court’s convenience, the following table sets forth Plaintiff’s designs at issue (collectively “Subject Designs”) and the currently known infringers’ identities:

L.A. Gem Copyrighted Design	Infringing Defendants
<p><u>Moon Pendant</u> Registration No.: VA 1-912-320</p> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nancy Medlin, dba Lemondrop Design   • Batten   • Plum Street   • Davis, dba HotBuyKing 

Mom No. 1
Registration No.: VA 1-889-369



Mom No. 2



- Batten



- Plum Street



First Cause of Action

(Copyright Infringement)

36. LA Gem hereby realleges and incorporates the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 35 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

1 37. Defendants' acts constitute infringement of LA Gem's copyright in the
2 Moon Pendant and the Mom Pendants (collectively, "LA Gem's Pendants") in violation
3 of the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 101, *et seq.*

4 38. LA Gem is informed and believes that Defendants' manufacture,
5 distribution, duplication and/or sale of infringing copies of LA Gem's Pendants was
6 deliberate, willful, malicious, oppressive, and without regard to LA Gem's proprietary
7 rights.

8 39. Defendants' copyright infringement has caused, and will continue to cause
9 LA Gem to suffer substantial injuries, loss, and damage to its proprietary and exclusive
10 rights to the copyright in LA Gem's Pendants and further, has damaged LA Gem's
11 business reputation and goodwill, diverted its trade, and caused loss of profits, all in an
12 amount not yet determined. In addition, LA Gem is entitled to receive the profits made
13 by Defendants from their wrongful acts pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504. Alternatively, LA
14 Gem is entitled to recover statutory damages, on election by LA Gem in an amount of up
15 to \$150,000 per copyright registration.

16 40. Defendants' copyright infringement and the threat of continuing
17 infringement has caused, and will continue to cause, LA Gem repeated and irreparable
18 injury. It would be difficult to ascertain the amount of money damages that would afford
19 LA Gem adequate relief at law for Defendants' acts and continuing acts. LA Gem's
20 remedy at law is not adequate to compensate it for the injuries already inflicted and
21 further threatened by Defendants. Therefore, LA Gem is entitled to preliminary and
22 permanent injunctive relief pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502, and to an order under 17 U.S.C.
23 § 503 and 28 U.S.C. § 1651(a) that the infringing copies of LA Gem's Pendants, and all
24 molds by which such infringing copies were produced, be seized, impounded, and
25 destroyed.

26 41. LA Gem is also entitled to recover its attorneys' fees and cost of suit
27 pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505.

Second Cause of Action

(Contributory and/or Vicarious Copyright Infringement)

42. LA Gem hereby realleges and incorporates the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 41 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

43. LA Gem is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendants, and each of them, knowingly induced, participated in, aided and abetted, and resultantly profited from the illegal reproduction, importation, purchase, distribution, and/or sale of products bearing LA Gem's Pendants as alleged in this Complaint.

44. LA Gem is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendants, and each of them, are vicariously liable for the copyright infringement alleged in this Complaint because they had the right and the ability to supervise such infringement and because they had a direct financial interest in the infringing conduct.

45. By virtue of Defendants' contributory and/or vicarious copyright infringement, LA Gem has suffered substantial damages to its business in an amount to be established at trial.

46. By virtue of Defendants' contributory and/or vicarious copyright infringement, LA Gem has suffered general and special damages in an amount to be established at trial.

47. By virtue of Defendants' contributory and/or vicarious copyright infringement, Defendants, and each of them, have obtained direct and indirect profits that they would not have realized but for their infringement of LA Gem's Pendants. As such, LA Gem is entitled to disgorgement of Defendants' profits that are directly and indirectly attributable to their acts of infringement in an amount to be established at trial.

48. LA Gem is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendants, and each of them, have continued to import, manufacture, cause to be manufactured, and/or sell the infringing product with knowledge that such acts violated LA Gem's intellectual property rights. Therefore, Defendants' acts of copyright infringement as alleged above, were and continue to be, willful, intentional, and malicious, subjecting Defendants, and

1 each of them, to liability for statutory damages under 17 U.S.C. § 504(c)(2) in the sum of
2 up to one hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$150,000) per each act of infringement.
3 Further, Defendants, and each of them, willfully and intentionally misappropriated,
4 palmed-off, and/or infringed LA Gem's Pendants, which renders Defendants, and each of
5 them, liable for statutory damages as described above. Within the time permitted by law,
6 LA Gem will elect between actual or statutory damages.
7

8 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

9 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff LA Gem prays for judgment against Defendants as
10 follows:

11 A. That the Court enter a judgment against Defendants that Defendants have
12 infringed the rights of LA Gem in LA Gem's federally registered copyrights under 17
13 U.S.C. § 501 and/or that LA Gem's pending copyright application(s) are valid and
14 entitled to protection.

15 B. That the Court issue a Preliminary Injunction enjoining and restraining
16 Defendants and their respective agents, servants, employees, successors and assigns, and
17 all other persons acting in concert with or in conspiracy with or affiliated with
18 Defendants, from:

- 19 i. manufacturing, producing, selling, distributing, destroying, altering, or
20 otherwise disposing of any jewelry that is in the possession of
21 Defendants that is substantially similar to LA Gem's Pendants;
- 22 ii. destroying any documents, electronic files, wax models, molds,
23 business records, or any other tangible object pertaining to the
24 copying, reproduction, manufacture, duplication, distribution, or
25 advertisement of any such jewelry; and,
- 26 iii. engaging in any other activity constituting an infringement of LA
27 Gem's copyrights of LA Gem's Pendants.

28 C. That LA Gem be awarded damages for Defendants' copyright infringement

1 either: (i) actual damages in an amount to be determined at trial, together with
2 Defendants' profits derived from its unlawful infringement of LA Gem's copyrights; or
3 (ii) statutory damages in an amount provided by law, as set forth in 17 U.S.C. § 504, at
4 LA Gem's election before the entry of final judgment, together with prejudgment and
5 post-judgment interest.

6 D. That the Court issue a Permanent Injunction enjoining and restraining
7 Defendants and their respective agents, servants, employees, successors and assigns, and
8 all other persons acting in concert with or in conspiracy with or affiliated with
9 Defendants, from copying, reproducing, manufacturing, duplicating, disseminating,
10 distributing, or using LA Gem's Pendants or any other jewelry that infringes LA Gem's
11 copyrights.

12 E. That the Court award LA Gem its reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 17
13 U.S.C. § 505.

14 F. That the Court award LA Gem its costs of suit incurred herein.

15 G. That LA Gem be awarded such other relief as may be appropriate.

16 Dated: March 22, 2017

17 Respectfully submitted,

18 **MILORD & ASSOCIATES, P.C.**

19 /s/ Milord A. Keshishian

20 Milord A. Keshishian

21 Attorneys for Plaintiff

22 L.A. GEM & JEWELRY DESIGN, INC.

23

24

25

26

27

28

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, through its attorneys of record, hereby demands trial by Jury.

Dated: March 22, 2017

MILORD & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

/s/ Milord A. Keshishian

Milord A. Keshishian

Attorneys for Plaintiff

L.A. GEM & JEWELRY DESIGN, INC.

MILORD & ASSOCIATES, PC
10517 West Pico Boulevard
Los Angeles, CA 90064
(310) 226-7878